

Appendix No. 4  
to the Order of the Head  
of Doctoral School  
No. 7 of 1 December 2023

**REVIEW FORM ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INDIVIDUAL RESEARCH PLAN  
- FOR MID-TERM EVALUATION -**

FIRST AND LAST NAME OF DOCTORAL STUDENT:	
FIRST AND LAST NAME OF THE REVIEWER	

The review focuses on the implementation of the Individual Research Plan by the Doctoral Student.

**IMPLEMENTATION OF TASKS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE INDIVIDUAL RESEARCH PLAN (IRP):**

<b>PLEASE SELECT THE CORRECT ONE AND JUSTIFY IN DETAIL:</b>
<input type="checkbox"/> <b>RECOMMENDATION</b> According to the Regulations of the Doctoral School of the Maria Grzegorzewska University, it can be granted in two cases: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>✓ most of the tasks are carried out, with reasons provided for why some tasks have not been completed, and alternative solutions are proposed, or</li><li>✓ all tasks have been completed.</li></ul> <input type="checkbox"/> <b>NO RECOMMENDATION</b> It means that most tasks are not being carried out.
<b>DETAILED JUSTIFICATION (up to 1,000 characters):</b>

## QUALITY OF RESEARCH WORK PRESENTED IN THE IRP

### PLEASE SELECT THE CORRECT ONE AND JUSTIFY IN DETAIL

#### RECOMMENDATION

According to the Regulations of the Doctoral School of the Maria Grzegorzewska University, it can be granted in two cases:

- ✓ **The project is promising:** meaning that the scientific quality of the tasks performed suggests that the doctoral dissertation will receive positive reviews in the doctoral degree conferral process
- ✓ **The project is promising (with remarks):** meaning that the scientific quality of the tasks performed is promising enough for the doctoral dissertation to receive positive reviews in the doctoral degree conferral process, but improvements and adherence to the reviewer's comments are necessary.

#### NO RECOMMENDATION

It means that the scientific quality of the tasks carried out does not promise that the dissertation will receive positive reviews in the doctoral degree conferral process.

### DETAILED JUSTIFICATION (up to 1,000 characters):

### STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE RESEARCH PROJECT AND THE TASKS COMPLETED

STRENGTHS	
WEAKNESSES	
TIPS FOR THE FUTURE AND OTHER CONSIDERATIONS	